New Mexico - Silver City Field Office FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands Applicant: _____ Farm No. ____ Tract No. ____ CMS Field No's. ____ Date: ____ Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating ___ Final Rating ___

1. Plants - Potential Points - 200 (25%)*

*(Applications that earn 0 points in this section will receive no further consideration)

| Note: Instructions | on separate sheet | % Area in Contrac Treatmen | | % Ar | ea in C Treat | | ract After nt. | Potential Points | Points - Bench Mark | Points - After |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----------|------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Rangelands: | SI of 76-1 00 w/tren | d up or not apparent | % | + | _ + | _ = | % | 200 | | |
| Ecological | SI of 51-75 with upw | ard trend | % | + | _ + | _ = | % | 170 | | |
| Site | SI of 51-75 with downward trend | | % | + | _ + | _ = | % | 140 | | |
| Similarity | SI of 26-50 with upward trend | | % | + | + | _ = | % | 110 | | |
| Index | SI of 26-50 with downward trend | | % | + | _ + | _ = | % | 80 | | |
| (SI)* | SI of 0-25 with upward trend | | % | + | + | _ = | % | 50 | | |
| | SI of 0-25 with dowr | ward trend | % | + | + | _ = | % | 30 | | |
| Riparian | | % Quality Bench Mark: | % | % Quality | y After: | | % | 200 | | |
| Grazed Forest: | Use Attachment 4 | % Quality Bench Mark: | % | % Qualit | y After: — | | % | 200 | | |
| | | 1. Plants Total | 100% | Total | | | 100% | Total: | | |

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Potential Points - 520 (65%)*

*(Maximum points that will be awarded is 520, regardless of individual points available per practice)

| Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and havelonger life spans. | Potential Points | Percent of Need to be Installed | Points - After |
|---|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| Soil Erosion | | | |
| Sheet and Rill and/or Wind Erosion | | | |
| Brush Management (314) / Prescribed Burn (338)(incl. Presc. GrazDeferment if applic.) | 50 | | |
| Well (642) / Pumping Plant (533) / Spring Development (574) | 40 | | |
| Trough or Tank (614) | 40 | | |
| Pipeline (516) | 20 | | |
| Forest Stand Improvement (666) | 20 | | |
| Classic Gullies and Streambank Erosion | | | |
| Grade Stabilization Structure (410, 410L) | 200 | | |
| Diversion Dam (348 G or P) | 40 | | |
| Diversion (362 A or B) | 40 | | |
| Streambank & Shoreline Protection (580 R,F or W) | 200 | | |
| Water Quantity | | | |
| Water Management for Non-Irrigated Lands | | | |
| Brush Management (314) / Prescribed Burn (338)(incl. Presc. GrazDeferment if applic.) | 40 | | |
| Well (642) / Pumping Plant (533) / Spring Development (574) | 30 | | |
| Trough or Tank (614) | | | |
| Pipeline (516) | | | |
| Pond(378) & (521 A,B, or C) | | | |
| Grazing Land - Mechanical Treatment (548) | | | |

| New Mexico - Silver City Field Office | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|----------|
| FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing La | ands | | |
| Applicant: Farm No Tract No CMS Field No's. | | Date: | |
| Tribal Land Non-Tribal Land Preliminary Rating | Final Rat | ina | |
| Water Quality | | | |
| Surface water, Contaminants, Suspended sediment, Turbidity, Low dissolved Oxygen | | | |
| Grade Stabilization Structure (410, 410L) | 200 | | |
| Streambank & Shoreline Protection (580 R,F or W) | 200 | | |
| <u>Plants</u> | | | |
| Condition | | | |
| Productivity / Health and Vigor | | | |
| Brush Management (314) / Prescribed Burn (338)(incl. Presc. GrazDeferment if applic.) | 30 | | |
| Well (642) / Pumping Plant (533) / Spring Development (574) | 20 | | |
| Trough or Tank (614) | 20 | | |
| Pipeline (516) | 10 | | |
| Range Planting(550) | 20 | | |
| Grazing Land - Mechanical Treatment (548) | 20 | | |
| Forest Stand Improvement (666) | 20 | | |
| <u>Animals</u> | | | |
| Habitat | | | |
| Food / Drinking Water | | | |
| Brush Management (314) / Prescribed Burn (338)(incl. Presc. GrazDeferment if applic.) | 30 | | |
| Well (642) / Pumping Plant (533) / Spring Development (574) | 20 | | |
| Trough or Tank (614) | 20 | | |
| Pipeline (516) | 10 | | |
| Wildlife Watering Facility(648) | 20 | | |
| 2. Conservation Practice Selection | Total: | | |
| 3. Other Considerations - Potential Points - 80 (| 10%) | | |
| Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to | Potential | Bench- | Points - |
| recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here. | Potential | mark | After |
| A At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species. | 20 | 0 | |
| B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment. | 15 | 0 | |
| C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active/proposed sec. 319 project. | 15 | 0 | |
| D. This land is within a NMED designated Category 1 watershed. | 15 | 0 | |
| E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A and/or Class B | 45 | 0 | |
| noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA. | 15 | 0 | |
| 3. Other Considerations | Total: | 0 | |
| Total Points(after minus Benchmark): Sec 1 Sec 2 Sec 3 | Total for | r workshee | et |

| Pa | ag | е | 2 | of | 2 |
|----|----|---|---|----|---|
| | | | | | |

Date

Designated Conservationist